

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/731,157	12/06/2000	David A. Salgado	XER 2 0378 D/A0604 8523		
7590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER			
Albert P. Sharpe, III, Esq.			BURLESON, MICHAEL L		
Fay, Sharpe, Fag	gan		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Minnich & McKee, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor			2625		
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/731,157	SALGADO, DAVID A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Burleson	2625	

Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Priof	·		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Burleson	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
 a)		a final raination, which are	
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the shortened in the shortened standard in the shortened i	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any entire of Appeal has been filed any and the property of Appeal has been filed.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set to	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5 . \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) :		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wvided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	in of the status of the claims after 6	entry is below or attac	ned.
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	One M	z-e
13. Other:		DAVID MOORE	
	SUPERVIS	SORY PATENT EXAMI	NER C

Ĉontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

The Applicant has amended claims 1 and 11 to read over the prior art of Nishi by claiming that the unwanted pages are removed from the main print job. This will require further search and/or consideration

11/22/06